

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/851,456

Applicant(s)

ZIMMERMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Drew E Becker

Art Unit

1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Drew E Becker

(3) _____

(2) Theodore Cummings

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 March 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: none

Identification of prior art discussed: none


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Mr. Cummings regarding the IDS of paper no. 7 which appeared to be missing from the application. Mr. Cummings indicated that there were only two IDS's in this application. These two IDS's correspond to those of paper no. 5-6.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required